

Work Group: Applications Subcommittee

Date	8/23/2006	Time	1:30 – 3:00 P.M.
Facilitator	Miko Pattie	Scribe	Miko Pattie
Location	#924 - Plaza Tower	Call-In #	502.564.1856

Agency / Attendees / Role

COT	Vibhas Chandrachood	Subcommittee Member
	Glenn Thomas	Subcommittee Member
ConnectKY	Heather Gate	Subcommittee Member
	Joe Mefford	Guest
CPE	Miko Pattie	Subcommittee Chair
	Allen Lind	ITC Member
EPSB	Valerie Armstrong	Subcommittee Member
KCDHH	Virginia Moore	Subcommittee Member
KDE	Charlotte Clowing	Subcommittee Member
KET	Mike Clark	Subcommittee Member
JCPS	Bo Lowrey	Subcommittee Member
UK	Rex Stidham	Subcommittee Member
WorkForce	Joe Morgan	Subcommittee Member
	Liz Stafford	Subcommittee Member
	Mac Stivers	Subcommittee Member

Agenda/Decisions

Item:	Introductions of Members	Decision(s)
1	In reviewing the units represented in the group, it was recommended to invite reps from KCTCS, UofL, Comprehensives, Private institutions, more school districts (innovative or under-served)	Miko – Institutions Charlotte – School districts
Item:	KEN Overview - Allen Lind	Decision(s)
2	Al started with "How We Got Here" background. The Joint Budget Request (JBR) was initiated with a brainstorming session on technology needs across the education community. JBR includes Shared Infrastructure, Seamless P16 Data Warehouse, Integrated Learning System,	

	and Local P16 Councils. Only the Infrastructure item was funded – \$30M for 2 years and \$8.5M for bonded funding. Al stressed to the group that even though other items did not receive funding, we are doing reallocating to move them forward as well. At the June 19's KEN kick-off meeting, the KEN Executive Committee authorized the establishment of the IT Coordination Committee and recommended to have an applications group to focus on apps that benefit the education community. Even though there are other groups working in the same space, this is the only group that has the association with statutory responsibilities as we are to report to the Interim Joint Committee on Appropriations & Revenue.	
Item:	Subcommittee Charge	Decision(s)
3	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joe proposed – To identify value-added (cost-effective) applications, existing or proposed, for all learning opportunities; 2. Review where we are for baseline and assess our success for each application as infrastructure is brought up; 3. Stress seamless, interoperability, real-time, interactivity in applications; 4. Look for enterprise solutions – Blackboard statewide contract as example; other applications can be included to leverage resources and promote interoperability; 5. Best practices in other states – Research needed; 6. What's our responsibility in implementations? Are we to make recommendations? To identify barriers? To prioritize apps to be implemented? 7. Virginia proposed – To include innovative uses, to skywrite, to dream; 8. Are we to share these apps with other communities such as, health, business? Joe stated that the network can be partitioned to be shared with the community. We don't want to build a silo system for education. 9. How do we determine the apps to be included here? We need Guiding Principles to use as the filter. 	To be finalized at our next meeting.

Item:	Handouts	Decision(s)
4	1. Meeting agenda 2. Members by organization 3. KEN one-pager 4. KEN committee structure 5. Applications matix 6. Raising Mary	

Other Discussions

New/Other Business – Discussions and Decisions:

Next Steps

Action Items Assigned in this Meeting:

Next Meeting	Agenda Items Suggested and/or Moved Forward
9/6/06	Items: 1. Subcommittee Charge 2. Guiding Principles for Applications To Be Included 3. Application Matrix

KPID = Key Point(s) Influencing Decision (*e.g. timing, funding, access, security issues, MoU or other signatory approval needed, etc.*) will be noted as and if they apply to individual decisions.